

# APPENDIX

Table B-1.—PERCENT OF ALLOCATION FOR NONRESPONSE, FOR THE STATE, BY SIZE OF PLACE: 1960

[The term "allocation" means that a characteristic was assigned a value during the tabulation because of the absence of an entry on the schedule, or because the entry was poorly marked, inconsistent with another entry, or was not readable by the mechanical equipment. See text for further explanation. Percent not shown where less than 0.1 or where base is less than 100.]

SUBJECT	THE STATE	URBAN					RURAL		
		TOTAL	URBANIZED AREAS		OTHER URBAN		TOTAL	PLACES OF 1,000 TO 2,500	OTHER RURAL
			CENTRAL CITIES	URBAN FRINGE	PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE	PLACES OF 2,500 TO 10,000			
TOTAL PERSONS: NUMBER	2 178 611	1 328 741	374 182	310 742	422 358	221 459	849 870	144 631	705 239
PERSONS SUBSTITUTED: <sup>1</sup>									
FOR OMISSIONS DUE TO NONINTERVIEW	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4
FOR OMISSIONS DUE TO MECHANICAL FAILURE	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
PERSONS WITH ONE OR MORE ALLOCATIONS	2.6	2.9	3.7	3.1	2.6	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.0
RELATIONSHIP ALLOCATED	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
SEX ALLOCATED	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2
COLOR ALLOCATED	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
BIRTHDATE ALLOCATED	1.4	1.7	2.3	1.8	1.3	0.9	0.9	1.0	0.9
DECade UNKNOWN	0.9	1.1	1.7	1.2	0.9	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6
DECade KNOWN	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3
PERSONS 14 YEARS OLD AND OVER: NUMBER	1 538 356	930 248	260 790	208 995	302 542	157 921	608 110	105 383	502 727
MARITAL STATUS ALLOCATED	0.6	0.6	1.0	0.7	0.7	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4

<sup>1</sup> THIS TYPE OF ALLOCATION, WHICH IS NOT INCLUDED IN THE OTHER PERCENTAGES IN THIS TABLE, CONSISTS OF CASES WHERE PERSONS, AND ALL THEIR CHARACTERISTICS, WERE SUBSTITUTED FOR AN ESTIMATED NUMBER OF OMITTED PERSONS. OMISSIONS DUE TO NONINTERVIEW CONSISTED OF PERSONS IN HOUSEHOLDS FOR WHICH THE ENUMERATOR OBTAINED NO POPULATION DATA. OMISSIONS DUE TO MECHANICAL FAILURE CONSISTED OF FULL SCHEDULE PAGES WHICH WERE NOT PROPERLY RECORDED IN THE ELECTRONIC PROCESSING SYSTEM.

Table B-2.—PERCENT OF ALLOCATION FOR NONRESPONSE, FOR URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE AND COUNTIES: 1960

[The term "allocation" means that a characteristic was assigned a value during the tabulation because of the absence of an entry on the schedule, or because the entry was poorly marked, inconsistent with another entry, or was not readable by the mechanical equipment. See text for further explanation. Percent not shown where less than 0.1 or where base is less than 100.]

AREA	TOTAL PERSONS (NUMBER)	PERSONS SUBSTITUTED <sup>1</sup>		PERSONS WITH 1 OR MORE ALLOCATIONS	PERSONS WITH ALLOCATIONS			PERSONS 14 YEARS OLD AND OVER	
		FOR OMISSIONS DUE TO NON-INTERVIEWS	FOR OMISSIONS DUE TO MECHANICAL FAILURE		RELATIONSHIP	SEX	COLOR	BIRTH DATE	
									HARITAL STATUS
<b>URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE</b>									
ARKANSAS CITY	14 262	0.1	0.1	1.8	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.9	0.5
ATCHISON	12 529	0.5	0.5	3.3	0.9	0.6	0.7	1.3	0.7
CHANUTE	10 849	0.3	0.2	3.6	0.7	0.3	0.4	2.1	0.9
COFFEYVILLE	17 382	0.8	0.1	1.8	0.4	0.2	0.3	1.0	0.3
DODGE CITY	13 520	0.4	...	2.8	0.6	0.4	0.6	1.3	0.7
EL DORADO	12 523	0.1	...	1.3	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.7	0.3
EMPORIA	18 190	0.6	...	6.3	0.9	0.5	0.5	3.6	2.2
GARDEN CITY	11 811	0.4	...	1.5	0.3	0.2	0.5	0.5	0.2
GREAT BEND	16 670	0.2	...	2.2	0.5	0.2	0.3	1.2	0.4
HAYS	11 947	0.4	0.4	3.1	0.6	0.4	0.5	1.5	0.9
HUTCHINSON	37 574	0.4	0.1	2.3	0.5	0.2	0.4	1.2	0.6
INDEPENDENCE	11 222	0.2	0.2	2.9	0.4	0.3	0.3	1.8	0.6
JUNCTION CITY	18 700	0.3	...	1.9	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.9	0.4
KANSAS CITY	121 901	0.4	0.1	4.6	0.7	0.5	0.4	3.0	1.1
LAWRENCE	32 858	0.3	...	3.3	0.6	0.4	0.5	1.9	0.8
LEAVENWORTH	22 052	0.3	0.3	2.9	0.4	0.3	0.3	1.9	0.8
LIBERAL	13 813	0.7	0.2	2.5	0.4	0.3	0.6	1.1	1.1
MANHATTAN	22 993	0.3	...	2.2	0.5	0.4	0.3	1.0	0.5
NEWTON	14 877	0.2	...	2.3	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.9	0.4
OLATHE	10 987	0.6	0.1	2.0	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.9	0.3
OTTAWA	10 673	0.2	0.6	1.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.2
OVERLAND PARK (U)	21 110	0.2	0.1	1.2	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.6	0.2
PARSONS	15 929	0.6	...	2.9	0.4	0.2	0.2	1.4	1.5
PITTSBURG	18 678	0.5	0.1	2.5	0.6	0.2	0.3	1.2	0.7
PRairie VILLAGE	25 356	0.3	...	2.1	0.5	0.3	0.4	1.0	0.2
SALINA	43 202	0.3	0.1	1.9	0.4	0.1	0.2	1.0	0.6
TOPEKA	119 484	0.6	0.3	3.4	0.5	0.3	0.5	2.1	0.9
WICHITA	254 698	0.6	0.2	3.9	0.6	0.4	0.5	2.4	1.0
WINFIELD	11 117	0.4	...	4.4	0.6	0.2	0.6	2.1	1.7
<b>COUNTIES</b>									
ALLEN	16 369	0.4	...	2.4	0.6	0.3	0.2	1.2	0.6
ANDERSON	9 035	0.2	...	0.9	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.1
ATCHISON	20 898	0.3	0.3	2.8	0.8	0.5	0.6	0.9	0.5
BARBER	8 713	0.6	0.1	1.5	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.5	0.6
BARTON	32 368	0.2	0.1	1.9	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.9	0.4
BOURBON	16 090	0.2	0.3	1.4	0.5	0.1	0.2	0.6	0.2
BROWN	13 229	0.2	0.1	1.1	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2
BUTLER	38 395	0.1	0.1	1.4	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.6	0.3
CHASE	3 921	0.5	0.6	3.2	0.7	0.4	0.3	1.7	0.8
CHAUTAUQUA	5 956	0.4	...	2.3	0.7	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.3
CHEROKEE	22 279	0.6	...	1.8	0.4	0.1	0.1	1.0	0.5
CHEYENNE	4 708	0.3	...	1.1	0.5	...	...	0.3	0.3
CLARK	3 396	1.1	1.0	2.6	0.5	0.1	0.8	1.1	0.4
CLAY	10 675	...	...	1.6	0.6	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.2
CLOUD	14 407	0.4	...	2.5	0.5	0.2	0.5	1.1	0.5
COFFEEY	8 403	0.2	...	1.8	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.8	0.4
COMANCHE	3 271	0.7	...	1.3	0.6	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.3
COWLEY	37 861	0.3	0.1	2.8	0.5	0.2	0.4	1.3	0.9

<sup>1</sup> THIS TYPE OF ALLOCATION, WHICH IS NOT INCLUDED IN THE OTHER PERCENTAGES IN THIS TABLE, CONSISTS OF CASES WHERE PERSONS, AND ALL THEIR CHARACTERISTICS, WERE SUBSTITUTED FOR AN ESTIMATED NUMBER OF OMITTED PERSONS. OMISSIONS DUE TO NONINTERVIEW CONSISTED OF PERSONS IN HOUSEHOLDS FOR WHICH THE ENUMERATOR OBTAINED NO POPULATION DATA. OMISSIONS DUE TO MECHANICAL FAILURE CONSISTED OF FULL SCHEDULE PAGES WHICH WERE NOT PROPERLY RECORDED IN THE ELECTRONIC PROCESSING SYSTEM.

Table B-2.—PERCENT OF ALLOCATION FOR NONRESPONSE, FOR URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE AND COUNTIES: 1960—Con.

[The term "allocation" means that a characteristic was assigned a value during the tabulation because of the absence of an entry on the schedule, or because the entry was poorly marked, inconsistent with another entry, or was not readable by the mechanical equipment. See text for further explanation. Percent not shown where less than 0.1 or where base is less than 100.]

AREA	TOTAL PERSONS (NUMBER)	PERSONS SUBSTITUTED <sup>1</sup>		PERSONS WITH 1 OR MORE ALLOCATIONS	PERSONS WITH ALLOCATIONS				PERSONS 14 YEARS OLD AND OVER
		FOR OMISSIONS DUE TO NON-INTERVIEWS	FOR OMISSIONS DUE TO MECHANICAL FAILURE		RELATIONSHIP	SEX	COLOR	BIRTH DATE	
<b>COUNTIES--CON.</b>									
CRAWFORD . . . . .	37 032	0.4	...	1.9	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.8	0.5
DECATUR . . . . .	5 778	0.2	...	0.8	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3
DICKINSON . . . . .	21 572	0.6	...	2.8	0.5	0.3	0.4	1.2	0.8
DONIPHAN . . . . .	9 574	0.7	0.3	3.0	0.9	0.3	0.4	0.9	1.2
DOUGLAS . . . . .	43 720	0.3	...	2.9	0.6	0.3	0.4	1.5	0.7
EDWARDS . . . . .	5 118	0.9	...	1.6	0.4	...	0.4	0.8	0.3
ELK . . . . .	5 048	0.4	...	2.7	1.0	0.3	0.2	1.3	0.4
ELLIS . . . . .	21 270	0.4	0.3	2.6	0.5	0.3	0.4	1.2	0.9
ELLSWORTH . . . . .	7 677	0.1	0.1	1.5	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.7	0.4
FINNEY . . . . .	16 093	0.7	...	1.7	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.5	0.2
FORD . . . . .	20 938	0.4	...	2.3	0.6	0.3	0.5	1.1	0.6
FRANKLIN . . . . .	19 548	0.1	0.4	1.3	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.3
GEARY . . . . .	28 779	0.2	...	2.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	1.4	0.4
GOVE . . . . .	4 107	0.1	...	1.3	0.4	0.2	...	0.7	1.1
GRAHAM . . . . .	5 586	1.0	...	1.7	0.5	0.1	0.2	0.8	0.2
GRANT . . . . .	5 269	0.5	...	1.8	0.5	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.5
GRAY . . . . .	4 380	0.5	...	2.7	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.6	1.4
GREELEY . . . . .	2 087	0.5	...	2.1	1.0	0.6	...	0.5	0.4
GREENWOOD . . . . .	11 253	0.5	...	0.9	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.4
HAMILTON . . . . .	3 144	1.0	...	2.5	1.0	0.1	0.1	1.4	0.6
HARPER . . . . .	9 541	0.3	...	1.3	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.7	0.3
HARVEY . . . . .	25 865	0.2	...	2.0	0.7	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.3
HASKELL . . . . .	2 990	0.3	...	2.1	0.3	0.3	0.8	0.8	0.5
HODGE MAN . . . . .	3 115	0.1	...	2.0	0.3	0.4	0.8	0.6	0.1
JACKSON . . . . .	10 309	0.3	...	1.2	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.1
JEFFERSON . . . . .	11 252	0.2	...	2.5	0.4	0.3	0.5	1.1	0.9
JEWELL . . . . .	7 217	0.2	...	1.8	0.3	0.1	0.1	1.4	...
JOHNSON . . . . .	143 792	0.3	0.1	2.1	0.4	0.3	0.4	1.0	0.3
KEARNY . . . . .	3 108	0.7	...	0.9	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.1
KINGMAN . . . . .	9 958	0.7	...	2.3	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.9	0.4
KIOWA . . . . .	4 626	0.1	...	2.2	1.0	0.4	0.2	0.6	0.5
LABELLE . . . . .	26 805	0.5	...	3.1	0.5	0.2	0.3	1.5	1.3
LANE . . . . .	3 060	0.4	...	0.2	0.2	...	...	0.1	1.1
LEAVENWORTH . . . . .	48 524	0.3	0.1	2.9	0.5	0.2	0.3	1.9	0.6
LINCOLN . . . . .	5 556	0.3	...	1.5	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.7	0.2
LINN . . . . .	8 274	0.4	...	1.8	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.9	0.5
LOGAN . . . . .	4 036	0.7	...	1.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.6	0.3
LYON . . . . .	26 928	0.7	0.1	4.9	0.8	0.4	0.4	2.6	1.8
MC PHERSON . . . . .	24 285	0.2	...	1.8	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.7	0.3
MARION . . . . .	15 143	0.2	0.2	3.4	0.8	0.3	0.4	1.4	1.0
MARSHALL . . . . .	15 598	0.4	...	1.7	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.8	0.2
MEADE . . . . .	5 505	0.4	0.1	1.3	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.3
MIAMI . . . . .	19 884	0.4	...	2.5	0.8	0.3	0.6	0.8	0.5
MITCHELL . . . . .	8 866	0.4	...	2.9	0.5	0.3	1.4	0.7	0.3
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	45 007	0.5	0.1	2.6	0.4	0.3	0.4	1.4	0.6
MORTON . . . . .	7 392	0.1	0.1	2.0	0.6	0.2	0.9	0.4	0.2
NEMAHIA . . . . .	3 354	0.7	...	7.5	0.4	0.2	0.2	6.7	0.7
NEOSHO . . . . .	12 897	...	0.2	1.5	0.6	0.1	...	0.7	0.4
NESS . . . . .	19 455	0.3	0.1	2.9	0.5	0.3	0.3	1.6	0.7
NORTON . . . . .	5 470	0.5	...	1.2	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.7	0.2
OSAGE . . . . .	8 035	0.3	...	1.1	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.6	0.1
OSBORNE . . . . .	12 886	0.6	...	2.4	0.8	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.6
OTTAWA . . . . .	7 506	0.5	0.1	1.7	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.2
PAWNEE . . . . .	6 779	0.3	0.2	2.5	0.5	0.2	0.2	1.7	0.5
PHILLIPS . . . . .	10 254	0.1	0.4	0.8	0.4	0.3	...	0.5	0.2
POTAWATOMIE . . . . .	8 709	0.8	...	1.3	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.2
PRATT . . . . .	11 957	0.3	0.3	2.4	0.7	0.4	0.4	0.9	0.3
RAWLINS . . . . .	12 122	0.1	...	1.4	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.6	0.2
RENO . . . . .	5 279	0.5	0.3	1.7	0.8	0.2	0.1	0.6	0.2
REPUBLIC . . . . .	59 055	0.4	0.1	2.4	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.2
RICE . . . . .	9 768	0.5	...	1.8	0.6	0.2	0.4	1.3	0.6
RILEY . . . . .	13 909	0.4	0.3	1.8	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.9	0.1
ROOKS . . . . .	41 914	0.3	...	2.9	0.5	0.3	0.5	1.5	0.7
RUSH . . . . .	9 734	0.3	...	1.6	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.8	0.3
RUSSELL . . . . .	6 160	0.1	...	1.6	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.6	0.3
SALINE . . . . .	11 348	0.2	0.1	1.0	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.3
SCOTT . . . . .	54 715	0.3	0.1	1.7	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.2
SEDWICK . . . . .	5 228	0.7	...	2.0	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.9	0.5
SEWARD . . . . .	343 231	0.6	0.2	3.4	0.6	0.4	0.1	0.9	0.5
SHAWNEE . . . . .	15 930	0.7	0.1	2.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	2.1	0.9
SHERIDAN . . . . .	141 286	0.6	0.3	3.2	0.5	0.3	0.5	1.0	1.0
4 267	0.1	0.4	0.9	0.6	0.1	0.5	0.5	2.0	0.8
SHERMAN . . . . .	6 682	0.5	...	1.8	0.3	0.2	0.6	0.7	0.4
SMITH . . . . .	7 776	0.1	1.0	1.6	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.7	0.4
STAFFORD . . . . .	7 451	0.6	...	1.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.2
STANTON . . . . .	2 108	2.8	0.6	2.3	0.3	0.2	0.8	1.0	0.2
STEVENS . . . . .	4 400	0.8	...	1.0	0.3	0.3	0.9	0.9	0.3
SUMNER . . . . .	25 316	0.2	0.1	1.9	0.5	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.1
THOMAS . . . . .	7 358	0.5	...	1.4	0.2	0.1	0.2	1.0	0.4
TREFO . . . . .	5 473	0.4	...	1.5	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.6	0.2
WAABAUNSEE . . . . .	6 648	0.1	...	1.1	0.5	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.2
WALLACE . . . . .	2 069	0.4	0.4	5.1	2.4	1.1	0.6	0.3	0.3
WASHINGTON . . . . .	10 739	0.2	0.2	1.9	0.6	0.3	0.5	1.4	0.9
WICHITA . . . . .	2 765	0.3	...	1.6	0.4	0.1	0.5	0.5	0.2
WILSON . . . . .	13 077	0.4	0.1	2.1	0.8	0.2	0.3	0.7	0.2
WOODSON . . . . .	5 423	0.8	0.1	1.4	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.5
WYANDOTTE . . . . .	185 495	0.5	0.2	3.9	0.7	0.5	0.5	2.4	1.0

<sup>1</sup> THIS TYPE OF ALLOCATION, WHICH IS NOT INCLUDED IN THE OTHER PERCENTAGES IN THIS TABLE, CONSISTS OF CASES WHERE PERSONS, AND ALL THEIR CHARACTERISTICS, WERE SUBSTITUTED FOR AN ESTIMATED NUMBER OF OMITTED PERSONS. OMITTISSONS DUE TO NONINTERVIEW CONSISTED OF PERSONS IN HOUSEHOLDS FOR WHICH THE ELECTRONIC PROCESSING SYSTEM.